# Iwasawa Algebras and p-adic Measures

#### Leonardo Colò

October 11th, 2016

### 1 Iwasawa Algebras

First of all we want to recall some definitions and to fix the notation. We will write  $\mathcal{G}$  to indicate the Galois Group of the extension  $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^{\infty}})$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ :

$$\mathcal{G} = Gal(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^{\infty}})/\mathbb{Q})$$

The Iwasawa algebra will play a fundamental role in this seminar: in general, let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a profinite abelian group. This means that  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a topological group which is obtained as the projective limit of a discrete collection of finite groups, each given the discrete topology.

$$\mathfrak{G} = \lim \mathfrak{F}_n$$

where  $(\mathfrak{F}_n, \pi_n)$  is a projective system and  $\mathfrak{F}_n$  is a finite topological group for all n. The topology on  $\mathfrak{G}$  is the topology originated by the projections

$$p_n:\mathfrak{G}\to\mathfrak{F}_n$$

A basis for the topology is the set of all the preimages of open subsets in  $\mathfrak{F}_n$  with respect to  $p_n$ .

A neighbourhood basis for 0 is given by the set of  $\ker(p_n)$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{G}}$  be the set of all open subgroups of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

**Theorem.** A topological group is profinite if and only if it is Hausdorff, compact, and totally disconnected.

We recall that a topological space is totally disconnected if the only (nonempty) connected subsets are one-point subsets, or equivalently, if for any two points there is an open and closed subset containing one but not the other.

**Proposition.** Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a compact group, and  $\mathfrak{H} \subseteq \mathfrak{G}$  a subgroup. Then  $\mathfrak{H}$  is open if and only if  $\mathfrak{H}$  is closed and of finite index.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathfrak{H}$  is open. Then  $\mathfrak{H}$  has finite index, since  $\mathfrak{G}$  is compact and every coset of an open subgroup is open.  $\mathfrak{H}$  is then also closed, since its complement is a union of cosets, each of which is open. Conversely, if  $\mathfrak{H}$  is closed and has finite index, then its complement is a finite union of closed cosets, and hence closed, so  $\mathfrak{H}$  is open.

**Corollary.** Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a profinite group, and  $\mathfrak{H} \subseteq \mathfrak{G}$  a subgroup. Then  $\mathfrak{H}$  is open if and only if  $\mathfrak{H}$  is closed and has finite index.

We conclude that every element in  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{G}}$  has finite index in  $\mathfrak{G}$ . This means that for all  $\mathfrak{H}$  open subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$ , the quotient  $\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{H}$  is a finite group.

**Definition.** Given a profinite abelian group  $\mathfrak{G}$ , we define the Iwasawa algebra to be

$$\Lambda(\mathfrak{G}) = \lim \mathbb{Z}_p[\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{H}]$$

where  $\mathfrak{H}$  runs over  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{G}}$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}_p[\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{H}]$  denotes the ordinary group ring over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

The topology on  $\Lambda(\mathfrak{G})$  is given by the projection maps

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{H}}: \Lambda(\mathfrak{G}) = \lim_{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_p[\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{H}] \to \mathbb{Z}_p[\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{H}]$$

Hence the open subsets of  $\Lambda(\mathfrak{G})$  are the preimages of open subsets in  $\mathbb{Z}_p[\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{H}]$ . Lemma. For all  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{G}}$ , we can write  $\mathcal{U}$  ha a disjoint union:

$$\mathcal{U} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (q_i + \mathfrak{H})$$

Where  $q_i$  are representants of the elements of  $\mathcal{U}/\mathfrak{H}$ .

*Example.* We know that  $\frac{p\mathbb{Z}_p}{p^2\mathbb{Z}_p} \simeq \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p\mathbb{Z}}$ 

$$1+p\mathbb{Z}_p = (1+p^2\mathbb{Z}_p) + ((1+p)+p^2\mathbb{Z}_p) + ((1+2p)+p^2\mathbb{Z}_p) + \ldots + ((1+(p-1)p)+p^2\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

We obtain

$$(1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p) = \prod_{n=0}^{p-1} ((1 + np) + p^2 \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

we recall that

$$(1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p) = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_p \mid \nu(x - 1) \ge 1\}$$

And so we have the following representation:



The small open disks cover the big disk and they are disjoint.

*Recall.* If we have two disks  $D_1; D_2$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  we only have two possibilities:

- $D_1 \cap D_2 = \emptyset$
- $D_1 \subset D_2$  or  $D_2 \subset D_1$

The main goal of this work is to build a bijection

$$\begin{array}{c} \Lambda(\mathfrak{G}) \longleftrightarrow Meas(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \\ \lambda \longleftrightarrow \mu_{\lambda} \end{array}$$

associating to every element of the Iwasawa algebra a p-adic measure valued in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

**Definition.** A *p*-adic distribution is a map

$$\mu: \mathcal{T} = \{ open \ and \ compact \ subsets \ of \ \Lambda(\mathfrak{G}) \} \to \mathbb{C}_p$$

which is additive. This means that if we consider a disjoint family  $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n=1}^N$  of elements of  $\mathcal{T}$ , where  $\mathcal{U}_n \cap \mathcal{U}_m = \emptyset$  for all  $n \neq m$ , then

$$\mu(\prod_{n=1}^{N} \mathcal{U}_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu(\mathcal{U}_n)$$

**Definition.** A p-adic measure is a bounded p-adic distribution.

A p-adic distribution is bounded if there exists  $B \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $||\mu(\mathcal{U})|| < B$ for all  $\mathcal{U}$ . Equivalently, working with the p-adic valuation, if there exists  $C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that  $|\mu(\mathcal{U})|_p > C$  for all  $\mathcal{U}$ .

*Remark.* If our measure takes values in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  we do not need the condition  $||\mu(\mathcal{U})|| < B$  for all  $\mathcal{U}$  since  $|x|_p \leq 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

Let  $\mathbb{C}_p$  be the completion of the algebraic closure of the field of p-adic numbers  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , and write  $|\cdot|_p$  for its p-adic norm.

**Definition.** We write  $\mathscr{C}(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{C}_p) = \{Continuous functions from \mathfrak{G} to \mathbb{C}_p\}$  for the  $\mathbb{C}_p$ -algebra of continuous functions from \mathfrak{G} to  $\mathbb{C}_p$ .

We can define a norm on  $\mathscr{C}(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{C}_p)$  by

$$||f|| = \sup_{g \in \mathfrak{G}} |f(g)|_p$$

Observation. ||f|| is well defined as  $\sup_{g \in \mathfrak{G}} |f(g)|_p$  is finite: indeed,  $\mathfrak{G}$  is compact and so f is bounded  $\Longrightarrow \sup_{g \in \mathfrak{G}} |f(g)|_p$  is bounded.

This norm makes  $\mathscr{C}(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{C}_p)$  into a  $\mathbb{C}_p$  Banach space.

**Definition.** A function  $f : \mathfrak{G} \to \mathbb{C}_p$  is said to be locally constant if, for all  $a \in \mathfrak{G}$ , there exist an open subgroup  $\mathfrak{H} \subseteq \mathfrak{G}$  such that  $f_{|a+\mathfrak{H}|}$  is constant, i.e.  $f(a+\mathfrak{h}) = f(a)$ , for all  $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathfrak{H}$ 

In other words, a function f in  $\mathscr{C}(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{C}_p)$  is defined to be locally constant if there exists an open subgroup  $\mathfrak{H}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  such that f is constant modulo  $\mathfrak{H}$ , i.e. gives a function from  $\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{H}$  to  $\mathbb{C}_p$ .

**Definition.** We write  $Step(\mathfrak{G})$  for the sub-algebra of locally constant functions.

Let f be constant modulo  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

$$\mathfrak{B} = (a_1 + \mathfrak{H}) \amalg \ldots \amalg (a_n + \mathfrak{H})$$

with  $a_i$  representants of  $\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{H}$ . Then we can write

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \chi_{a_i + \mathfrak{H}} \qquad \alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}_p$$

 $\mathfrak{H}$  is an open and compact set  $\Longrightarrow \mathfrak{H}$  is open and closed  $\Longrightarrow \chi_{a_i + \mathfrak{H}}$  is continuous.

We have the following lemma:

**Lemma.** Step( $\mathfrak{G}$ ) is dense in  $\mathscr{C}(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{C}_p)$ .

*Proof.*  $Step(\mathfrak{G}) \subseteq \mathscr{C}(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{C}_p)$  and  $||f|| = \sup_{\mathfrak{g} \in \mathfrak{G}} |f(\mathfrak{g})|$ . Let  $f \in \mathscr{C}(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{C}_p)$ ;  $f : \mathfrak{G} \to \mathbb{C}_p$ . Since  $\mathfrak{G}$  is compact, we have that f is uniformly continuous:

 $\forall \epsilon > 0, \ \exists \mathfrak{H}_{\epsilon} \subseteq \mathfrak{G} \ open \ subset \ such \ that \ \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{G} \ with \ x-y \in \mathfrak{H}_{\epsilon} \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$ 

Let  $\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{H}_{\epsilon} = \{a_1; \ldots; a_n\}$ . We set

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(a_i) \chi_{a_i + \mathfrak{H}_{\epsilon}} \in Step(\mathfrak{G})$$

For all  $x \in \mathfrak{G}$  there exists  $i_0$  such that  $x \in a_{i_0} + \mathfrak{H}_{\epsilon}$ .

$$|f(x) - g(x)| = |f(x) - f(a_{i_0})| < \epsilon$$

since  $x - a_{i_0} \in \mathfrak{H}_{\epsilon}$  and f is uniformly continuous. Hence

$$||f(x) - g(x)|| = \sup_{x \in \mathfrak{G}} |f(x) - g(x)| \le \epsilon$$

We conclude that  $Step(\mathfrak{G})$  is dense in  $\mathscr{C}(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{C}_p)$ .

**Definition.** If  $f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \chi_{a_i + \mathfrak{H}} \in Step(\mathfrak{G})$  we set

$$\int_{\mathfrak{G}} f d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \mu(a_i + \mathfrak{H})$$

**Lemma.** The definition above is independent on the choice of  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

Since  $Step(\mathfrak{G})$  si dense in  $\mathscr{C}(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{C}_p)$ , then for all f continuous function we have a sequence  $\{h_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  of locally constant functions converging to f.

Definition. We set

$$\int_{\mathfrak{G}} f d\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathfrak{G}} h_n d\mu$$

This is a good definition since we have the following

**Theorem.** Let  $\{g_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of locally constant functions such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} g_n = f$$

then we have

- i. The sequence  $\{\int_{\mathfrak{G}} g_n d\mu\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy.
- ii. The quantity

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathfrak{G}} g_n d\mu$$

does not depends on the choice of  $\{g_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  but only on f.

*Proof.* i.  $\lim_{n \to \infty} g_n = f$  and  $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy. Then  $(g_{n+1} - g_n) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$  and  $||g_{n+1} - g_n|| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

$$\int_{\mathfrak{G}} (g_{n+1} - g_n) d\mu = \int_{\mathfrak{G}} g_{n+1} d\mu - \int_{\mathfrak{G}} g_n d\mu$$
$$|\int_{\mathfrak{G}} (g_{n+1} - g_n) d\mu| \le ||g_{n+1} - g_n|| \cdot ||\mu||$$

where  $||\mu|| = \sup_{\mathcal{U}} |\mu(\mathcal{U})|$ . Since  $||\mu||$  is bounded and  $||g_{n+1} - g_n|| \to 0$  we have

$$|\int_{\mathfrak{G}} (g_{n+1} - g_n) d\mu| = |\int_{\mathfrak{G}} g_{n+1} d\mu - \int_{\mathfrak{G}} g_n d\mu| \le ||g_{n+1} - g_n|| \cdot ||\mu|| \to 0.$$

ii. Suppose we have  $\{g_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{h_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  two sequences converging to f.

$$\begin{aligned} |\int_{\mathfrak{G}} h_n d\mu - \int_{\mathfrak{G}} g_n d\mu| &= |\int_{\mathfrak{G}} (h_n - g_n) d\mu| \le ||h_n - g_n||||\mu|| = \\ &= ||h_n - f + f - g_n||||\mu|| \le \max\{||h_n - f||; ||f - g_n||\}||\mu||\end{aligned}$$

but  $||h_n - f|| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$  and  $||f - g_n|| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Moreover  $\mu$ is bounded. So we have

$$|\int_{\mathfrak{G}} h_n d\mu - \int_{\mathfrak{G}} g_n d\mu| \to 0 \quad as \ n \to \infty$$

**Definition.** We define the convolution product in  $Meas(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  as  $\mu_1 * \mu_2$  in this way:

$$\int_{\mathfrak{G}} f(x)d(\mu_1 * \mu_2)(x) = \int_{\mathfrak{G}} (\int_{\mathfrak{G}} f(x+y)d\mu_1(x))d\mu_2(y)$$

With this definition we know the behaviour of  $\mu_1 * \mu_2$  on all subsets  $A \subset \mathfrak{G}$ :

$$\mu_1 * \mu_2(A) = \int_{\mathfrak{G}} \chi_A d(\mu_1 * \mu_2)$$

**Theorem.**  $\Lambda(\mathfrak{G})$  and  $Meas(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  are isomorphic.

*Proof.* We want to find an isomorphism

$$\Psi: \Lambda(\mathfrak{G}) \to Meas(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

Let's consider  $\lambda \in \Lambda(\mathfrak{G})$ .  $\lambda = (\lambda_{\mathfrak{H}})_{\mathfrak{H}}$ . We want to find  $\mu_{\lambda} \in Meas(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ . We only need to describe what is  $\mu_{\lambda}(a + \Gamma)$ . Indeed, every compact subset of  $\mathfrak{G}$  is union of elements of this form:  $a + \Gamma$  where  $a \in \mathfrak{G}$  and  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathfrak{G}$  is in  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{G}}$ .

$$\lambda_{\Gamma} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}/\Gamma} a_{\sigma} \sigma \qquad \quad a_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$$

Let be  $\overline{\gamma} \in \mathfrak{G}/\Gamma$ .  $\overline{\gamma}$  is one of the  $\sigma$  and  $a_{\overline{\gamma}} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . We set

$$\mu_{\lambda}(\gamma + \Gamma) = a_{\overline{\gamma}}$$

Clearly  $\mu_{\lambda}$  is bounded since  $a_{\overline{\gamma}}$  si in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

We now want to check the additivity of  $\mu$ : if  $\gamma + \Gamma = \coprod_{i=1}^{n} (a_i + \mathfrak{H})$  with  $\mathfrak{H} \subseteq \Gamma \subseteq \mathfrak{G}$  and  $a_i$  are the representatives of all the elements in  $\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{H}$  such that  $\alpha_i = \gamma \mod \Gamma$ , then we claim that

$$\mu_{\lambda}(\gamma + \Gamma) = \mu_{\lambda}(\coprod_{i=1}^{n}(a_{1} + \mathfrak{H})) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{\lambda}(a_{i} + \mathfrak{H})$$

But this is plain from the compatibility of the family  $\lambda = (\lambda_{\Re})_{\Re}$ . Indeed, if

$$\lambda_{\Gamma} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}/\Gamma} a_{\sigma} \sigma \quad and \quad \lambda_{\mathfrak{H}} = \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{H}} b_{\tau} \tau$$

then

$$\begin{split} \mu_{\lambda}(\gamma+\Gamma) &= a_{\sigma_0} \qquad where \ \gamma = \sigma_0 \mod \Gamma \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{\lambda}(a_i + \mathfrak{H}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{\tau_i} \qquad where \ a_i = \tau_i \mod \mathfrak{H} \end{split}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \pi : \mathbb{Z}[\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{H}] \to \mathbb{Z}[\mathfrak{G}/\Gamma] \\ \lambda_{\mathfrak{H}} \to \lambda_{\Gamma} \\ \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{H}} b_{\tau}\tau \to \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}/\Gamma} a_{\sigma}\sigma \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{G}/\Gamma}a_{\sigma}\sigma=\pi(\sum_{\tau\in\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{H}}b_{\tau}\tau)=\sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{G}/\Gamma}(\sum_{\tau\equiv_{\Gamma}\sigma}b_{\tau})\sigma$$

and we conclude that  $a_{\sigma_0} = \sum_{\tau \equiv_{\Gamma} \sigma_0} b_{\tau} = \sum_{i=1}^n b_{\tau_i}$ . It can be shown that the product in  $\Lambda(\mathfrak{G})$  gives the convolution product in

It can be shown that the product in  $\Lambda(\mathfrak{G})$  gives the convolution product in  $Meas(\mathfrak{G},\mathbb{Z}_p)$  and so  $\Psi$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -algebras homomorphism.

 $\Psi$  is injective since ker $(\Psi) = \{0\}$ . Indeed, if  $\Psi(\lambda) = 0 \in Meas(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  then 0(A) = 0 for all  $A \subset \mathfrak{G}$ . Then every component of  $\lambda$  is 0 and so  $\lambda = 0$ .

Finally  $\Psi$  is surjective: given a measure  $\mu \in Meas(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  then we can construct a sequence  $(\lambda_{\mathfrak{H}})_{\mathfrak{H}}$  where  $\lambda_{\mathfrak{H}} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{H}} \mu(\sigma + \mathfrak{H})\sigma$ . The only thing we have to check is that  $(\lambda_{\mathfrak{H}})_{\mathfrak{H}} \in \Lambda(\mathfrak{G})$  i.e. that  $(\lambda_{\mathfrak{H}})_{\mathfrak{H}}$  is a compatible system. Suppose we have  $\mathfrak{H} \subset \Gamma \subset \mathfrak{G}$  then  $\Gamma = \mathrm{II}(a_i + \mathfrak{H})$  then

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{\Gamma} &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}/\Gamma} \mu(\sigma + \Gamma) \sigma \\ \lambda_{\mathfrak{H}} &= \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{H}} \mu(\tau + \mathfrak{H}) \tau \end{split}$$

Now we use the additivity of the measure  $\mu$  to prove the compatibility of  $(\lambda_{\mathfrak{H}})_{\mathfrak{H}}$ 

$$\pi(\lambda_{\mathfrak{H}}) = \pi(\sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{H}} \mu(\tau + \mathfrak{H})\tau) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}/\Gamma} (\sum_{\tau \equiv_{\Gamma}\sigma} \mu(\tau + \mathfrak{H}))\sigma =$$
$$= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}/\Gamma} \mu(\prod_{\tau \equiv_{\Gamma}\sigma} (\tau + \mathfrak{H}))\sigma = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}/\Gamma} \mu(\sigma + \Gamma)\sigma = \lambda_{\Gamma}$$

The isomorphism we built allows us to speak about integration of continuous functions against an element  $\lambda \in \Lambda(\mathfrak{G})$ : setting  $\lambda = (\lambda_{\mathfrak{K}})_{\mathfrak{K}} = (\sum_{x \in \mathfrak{B}/\mathfrak{K}} c_{\mathfrak{K}}(x)x)$  with  $c_{\mathfrak{H}}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , we define the integral of a function f locally constant on  $\mathfrak{H}$  as

$$\int_{\mathfrak{G}} f d\lambda = \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{B}/\mathfrak{H}} f(x) c_{\mathfrak{H}}(x)$$

Observation. Since the  $c_{\mathfrak{H}}$  lie in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  we have

$$|\int_{\mathfrak{G}} f d\lambda|_p \le ||f||$$

Indeed  $|\int_{\mathfrak{G}} f d\lambda|_p = |\sum_{x \in \mathfrak{B}/\mathfrak{H}} f(x)c_{\mathfrak{H}}(x)| \le \max\{|f(x)c_{\mathfrak{H}}(x)|\}$  and, since  $c_{\mathfrak{H}}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , then  $|c_{\mathfrak{H}}(x)| \le 1$ . We conclude that

$$|\int_{\mathfrak{G}} f d\lambda|_p \le \max\{|f(x)| \cdot |c_{\mathfrak{H}}(x)|\} \le ||f|| \cdot 1 = ||f||$$

If f is any continuous function and  $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence converging to f, then

$$\int_{\mathfrak{G}} f d\lambda = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathfrak{G}} f_n d\lambda$$

We have a linear functional

$$\begin{split} M_{\lambda} : \mathscr{C}(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{C}_p) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p \\ f \longrightarrow \int_{\mathfrak{G}} f d\lambda \end{split}$$

satisfying  $|M_{\lambda}(f)| \leq ||f||$ .

It is clear that if  $M_{\lambda_1} = M_{\lambda_2}$ , then  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ . Finally,  $M_{\lambda}(f)$  belongs to  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  when f takes values in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Conversely we have the following lemma

**Lemma.** Every linear functional  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathscr{C}(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{C}_p)$  satisfying  $|\mathcal{L}(f)|_p \leq ||f||$  for all continuous functions f and  $\mathcal{L}(f)$  belongs to  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  when f takes values in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , is of the form  $\mathcal{L} = M_\lambda$  for a unique  $\lambda$  in  $\Lambda(\mathfrak{G})$ .

*Proof.* The element  $\lambda$  can be obtained as follows. For each open subgroup  $\mathfrak{H}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$ , and each coset x of  $\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{H}$ , we put  $c_{\mathfrak{H}}(x) = \mathcal{L}(\chi_x)$  where  $\chi_x$  is the characteristic function of x, and then define  $\lambda_{\mathfrak{H}}$  by the formula  $\lambda_{\mathfrak{H}} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{H}} c_{\mathfrak{H}}(\sigma)\sigma$ . These elements  $\lambda_{\mathfrak{H}}$  are clearly compatible and so give an element in  $\Lambda(\mathfrak{G})$ .

Observation. If  $\lambda = g$  in  $\mathfrak{G}$ , then dg is the Dirac measure given by

$$\int_{\mathfrak{G}} f dg = f(g)$$

Observation. The product in  $\Lambda(\mathfrak{G})$  corresponds to the convolution of measures which we recall is defined by

$$\int_{\mathfrak{G}} f(x)d(\lambda_1 * \lambda_2)(x) = \int_{\mathfrak{G}} (\int_{\mathfrak{G}} f(x+y)d\lambda_1(x))d\lambda_2(y)$$

Observation. If  $\nu : \mathfrak{G} \to \mathbb{C}_p$  is a continuous group homomorphism, then one sees easily that we can extend  $\nu$  to a continuous algebra homomorphism,

$$\nu: \Lambda(\mathfrak{G}) \to \mathbb{C}_p$$

by the formula  $\nu(\lambda) = \int_{\mathfrak{G}} \nu d\lambda$ .

Observation. To take account of the fact that the *p*-adic analogue of the complex Riemann zeta function also has a pole, we now introduce the notion of a *p*-adic pseudo-measure on  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Let  $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{G})$  be the total ring of fractions of  $\Lambda(\mathfrak{G})$ , i.e. the set of all quotients  $\alpha/\beta$  with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in  $\Lambda(\mathfrak{G})$  and  $\beta$  a non-zero divisor. We say that an element  $\lambda$  of  $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{G})$  is a pseudo-measure on  $\mathfrak{G}$  if  $(g-1)\lambda$  is in  $\Lambda(\mathfrak{G})$  for all *g* in  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

Suppose that  $\lambda$  is a pseudo-measure on  $\mathfrak{G}$  and let  $\nu$  be a homomorphism from  $\mathfrak{G}$  to  $\mathbb{C}_p$  which is not identically one. We can then define

$$\int_{\mathfrak{G}} \nu d\lambda = \frac{\int_{\mathfrak{G}} \nu d((g-1)\lambda)}{\nu(g) - 1}$$

where g is any element of  $\mathfrak{G}$  with  $\nu(g) \neq 1$ . This is independent of the choice of g because, as remarked earlier  $\nu$  extends to a ring homomorphism from  $\Lambda(\mathfrak{G})$  to  $\mathbb{C}_p$ .

## 2 Mahler transform

We now specialize our argument for the Iwasawa algebra of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Let be  $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[\![T]\!]$  the ring of formal power series.

Definition. As usual we define

$$\binom{x}{n} = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 0\\ \frac{x \cdot (x-1) \cdot \dots \cdot (x-n+1)}{n!} & otherwise \end{cases}$$

Theorem. The functions

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} , \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} , \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} , \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} , \dots$$

form an orthonormal basis (Mahler basis) of  $\mathscr{C}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{C}_p)$ .

**Theorem** (Mahler). Let  $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{C}_p$  be any continuous function. Then f can be written uniquely in the form:

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \binom{x}{n}$$

where  $a_n \in \mathbb{C}_p$  tends to 0 as  $n \to \infty$ .

*Proof.* We take

$$a_n(f) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} f(k)$$

The idea of the proof is very easy. It is clear that

$$f(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k(f) \binom{n}{k}$$

If we show that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} |a_n(f)|_p = 0$  then the series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(f) \binom{x}{k}$$

converges uniformly and, since f is continous, its sum is f(x) in view of the relation for f(n) and the fact that non-negative integers are dense in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . A complete proof can be found in

[A Simple Proof of Mahlers Theorem on Approximation of Continuous Functions of a p – adic Variable by Polynomials – R. Bojanic]

Note that the coefficients  $a_n$  are given by  $a_n = (\nabla^n f)(0)$  where

$$\nabla f(x) = f(x+1) - f(x)$$

**Lemma.**  $|\binom{x}{n}| \leq 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* For any  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  we can choose  $y \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$|\frac{x-y}{n!}|_p \le 1$$

The existence of such a y is given by the density of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . For  $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$ ,  $\binom{y}{n-k}$  is a positive integer. Hence

$$|\binom{y}{n-k}|_p \le 1$$

Further  $\binom{x-y}{0} = 1$  and

$$\binom{x-y}{k} = \frac{(x-y)(x-y-1)\dots(x-y-k+1)}{k!} = \frac{x-y}{n!}\lambda_k$$

where  $\lambda_k$  is a p-adic integer. Therefore

$$|\binom{x-y}{k}|_p \le 1$$

The identity (Vandermonde Convolution)

$$\binom{x}{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{x-y}{k} \binom{y}{n-k}$$

implies

$$|\binom{x}{n}|_p \le 1 \Longrightarrow \binom{x}{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$$

Since  $|\binom{x}{n}|_p \leq 1$  for all x in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , it follows that  $||f|| = \sup |a_n|_p$ . If  $\lambda$  is any element of  $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ , it follows from that

$$c_n(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} {\binom{x}{n}} d\lambda \qquad (n \ge 0)$$

lies in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . This leads to the following definition.

**Definition.** We define the Mahler transform  $\mathcal{M} : \Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p) \to R$  by

$$\mathcal{M}(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(\lambda) T^n$$

for  $\lambda \in \Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ .

#### **Theorem.** The Mahler transform is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -algebras.

*Proof.* It is clear from the previous theorem that  $\mathcal{M}$  is injective, and is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ module homomorphism. To see that it is bijective, we construct an inverse  $\Upsilon: R \to \Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  as follows. Let  $g(T) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n T^n$  be any element of R. We can then define a linear functional  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathscr{C}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{C}_p)$  by

$$\mathcal{L}(f) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n c_n$$

where f has Mahler expansion  $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n {x \choose n}$ . Of course, the series on the right converges because  $a_n$  tends to zero as  $n \to \infty$ . Since the  $c_n$  lie in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , it is clear that  $|\mathcal{L}(f)|_p \leq ||f||$  for all f. Hence there exists  $\lambda$  in  $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  such that  $\mathcal{L} = M_{\lambda}$ , and we define  $\Upsilon(g(T)) = \lambda$ . It is plain that  $\Upsilon$  is an inverse of  $\mathcal{M}$ . In fact, it can also be shown that  $\mathcal{M}$  preserves products, although we omit the proof here.

**Lemma.** We have  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}) = 1 + T$ , and thus  $\mathcal{M} : \Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p) \to R$  is the unique isomorphism of topological  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -algebras which sends the topological generator  $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  to (1+T).

*Proof.* Take  $\lambda = 1_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ . By definition,

$$\mathcal{M}(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(\lambda) T^n$$

where

$$c_n(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \binom{x}{n} d\lambda = \binom{1}{n}$$

whence the first assertion is clear. For the second assertion, we note that it is well-known, that for each choice of a topological generator  $\gamma$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , there is a unique topological isomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -algebras, which maps  $\gamma$  to (1 + T).

**Lemma.** For all g in R, and all integers  $k \ge 0$ , we have the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^k d(\Upsilon(g(T))) = (D^k g(T))_{T=0}$$

where  $D = (1+T)\frac{d}{dT}$ .

*Proof.* For fixed  $g(T) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n T^n$  in R, consider the linear functional  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathscr{C}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{C}_p)$  defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(f) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x f(x) d\Upsilon(g(T))$$

Clearly, we have  $|\mathcal{L}(f)|_p \leq ||f||$ , and so  $\mathcal{L} = M_{\lambda}$  for some  $\lambda \in \Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ , whence we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x f(x) d\Upsilon(g(T)) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(x) d\lambda$$

We first claim that

$$\mathcal{M}(\lambda) = Dg(T)$$

To prove this, we note that

$$Dg(T) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (nc_n + (n+1)c_{n+1})T^n$$

On the other hand, by definition,  $\mathcal{M}(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e_n T^n$ , where

$$e_n = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x \binom{x}{n} d\Upsilon(g(T))$$

But we have the identity

$$x\binom{x}{n} = (n+1)\binom{x}{n+1} + n\binom{x}{n} \qquad (n \ge 0)$$

whence we get  $e_n = nc_n + (n+1)c_{n+1}$  for all  $n \ge 0$ , thereby proving that  $\mathcal{M}(\lambda) = Dg(T)$ . But for all  $h(T) \in R$  we have

But, for all  $h(T) \in R$ , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} d\Upsilon(h(T)) = h(0)$$

Indeed,  $\Upsilon(h(T)) = \lambda \in \Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  such that, if

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n {\binom{x}{n}}$$
 and  $h(T) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n T^n$ 

then

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(x) d\lambda = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \beta_n$$

Hence, if f = 1 then  $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \delta_{0,n} {x \choose n}$  and so

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} 1 \ d(\Upsilon(h(T))) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \delta_{0,n} \beta_n = \beta_0 = h(0)$$

So the assertion of the lemma is equivalent to

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^k d(\Upsilon(g(T))) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} d\Upsilon(D^k g(T)) \qquad (k \ge 0)$$

By an induction argument, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} d\Upsilon(D^kg(T)) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^{k-1} d(\Upsilon(Dg(T)))$$

It is now plain by the fact that  $\mathcal{M}(\lambda) = Dg(T)$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} xf(x)d\Upsilon(g(T)) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(x)d\lambda$  that this is equal to

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^k d\Upsilon(g(T))$$

and the proof of the lemma is complete.